

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/033,259	12/28/2001		Steven S. Bachand	2843	8123
23693	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Varian Inc.			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L		
Legal Department 3120 Hansen Way D-102				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Palo Alto, CA 94304				1641	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/033,259	BACHAND, STEVEN S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day on the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	October 2004						
•	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burd * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 19 October 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, 4th paragraph is confusing because the recitation of the passage of the liquid sample and entry into the membrane and liquid sample is vague. It appears that this recitation is intended to recite that the dry reagent in the non-absorbent medium is mixed with the liquid sample as the sample passes through the medium and the mixed sample is absorbed into the membrane and continues its migration to the detection site; however, the recitation is not clear.

Claim 5 uses the trademark Mylar™. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,259

Art Unit: 1641

Claims 1-18 are confusing with respect to the interchangeable use of "dry reagent" and "reagent". It is recommended that "dry reagent" be consistently recited to avoid confusion. The same applies to "non-absorbent medium" and "medium". It is recommended that "non-absorbent medium" be consistently recited to avoid confusion.

Claim 11, last line, "detection site" is misspelled.

Claim 12, the recitation of "the center medium" lacks antecedent support.

Claims 13 and 14, the recitation of "the subject" lacks antecedent support.

Claim 17, recitation of 'the concentrate of the sugar" lacks antecedent support.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims define over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or make obvious an immunochromatographic device where reagents are applied to the underside of a non-absorbent medium and the whole is adhered to a porous membrane. The closest prior art of record, US 6,180,417 B1 discloses the use of a non-absorbent medium but only in the sample application area and not for carrying dry reagents.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/033,259

Art Unit: 1641

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5,770,458

US 6,180,417 B1

US 6,194,221 B1

US 6,194,225 B1

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Thursday from 8:00 a.m. -3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641 1/21/04